

#3  
11.20.03

## THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Attorney Docket No. 047912/0134

Applicant: Takuji TANIMURA


Title: HYBRID TYPE TELEPHONY SYSTEM

Serial No. 09/784,140

Filed: February 16, 2001

Examiner: Unknown

Art Unit: 2661



RECEIVED

NOV 17 2003

Technology Center 2600

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT  
UNDER 37 CFR §1.56 and 37 CFR §1.97**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith on Form PTO-SB08 is a list of documents known to Applicant in order to comply with Applicant's duty of disclosure pursuant to 37 CFR 1.56. A copy of each listed document is being submitted to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

The submission of any document herewith, which is not a statutory bar, is not intended as an admission that such document constitutes prior art against the claims of the present application or that such document is considered material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR §1.56(b). Applicant does not waive any rights to take any action which would be appropriate to antedate or otherwise remove as a competent reference any documents which is determined to be a prima facie prior art reference against the claims of the present application.

**TIMING OF THE DISCLOSURE**

The instant Information Disclosure Statement is believed to be filed in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97(b), prior to the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merits (first scenario). If that is not the case, such as in a second scenario in which a first Office Action on the merits has been mailed before the filing of the instant Information Disclosure Statement, then either a certification or fee is required, and a certification is provided below. If neither of the first or second scenarios is the case, such as if a final Office Action or a notice of allowance has been mailed by the PTO (third scenario), then both a certification and fee are required, and in that case a certification is provided below and also the PTO is authorized to obtain the necessary fee to have the instant IDS considered, from Foley & Lardner Deposit Account #19-0741.

**CERTIFICATION**

The undersigned hereby certifies in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.97(e)(1) that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three (3) months prior to the filing of this Statement.

**RELEVANCE OF EACH DOCUMENT**

A translation of a portion of a Japanese Office Action that issued September 24, 2003 with respect to a counterpart Japanese patent application is provided below.

"Regarding Claims 1, 2  
Cited Literature 1

(Remarks) The "DKU/STU" described in Fig. 6 of Cited Literature 1 is equivalent to the switch of the present application, and the "router" is equivalent to the IP switch. Also, an audio bus and a control bus are connected between the "DKU/STU" and the "router".

Regarding Claims 3, 4  
Cited Literature 1

(Remarks) The fact that the address will be determined if the IP address is unknown, and the fact that there is switching from the telephone number to the address are well-known technologies.

Regarding Claims 5, 6  
Cited Literature 1

(Remarks) A configuration may be suitably implemented wherein central control equipment conducts the service and call control performed by a conventional telephone.

List of Cited Literature

1. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication H11-205831

Record of Prior Art Literature Search Results

Fields searched -IPC 7<sup>th</sup> Ed. - H04L12/00

Prior Art Literature - Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication 2000-295645

This Record of Prior Art Literature Search Results does not constitute a reason for rejection."


Applicant's statements regarding the Japanese Office Action are based on a partial translation that Applicant's representative obtained. These statements should in no way be considered as an agreement by Applicant with, or an admission of, what is asserted in the Japanese Office Action.

Applicant respectfully request that the listed documents be considered by the Examiner and formally be made of record in the present application and that an initialed copy of Form PTO/SB/08 be returned in accordance with MPEP §609.

Respectfully submitted,

Date

November 14, 2003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Phillip J. Articola  
Registration No. 38,819

FOLEY & LARDNER  
Washington Harbour  
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 500  
Washington, D.C. 20007-5143  
Telephone: (202) 672-5300  
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399